

FOR LIFE ON EARTH

info@forlifeonearth.org.uk

Properly Moderated Public Scientific Debate

2nd April 2014

Dear Understanding Animal Research,

Thank you for your agreement to take part in a properly moderated public scientific debate, received via twitter on 15th October 2013, a copy of which is below. We are delighted to be able to inform you that we are now ready to take this important event forward.



The debate conditions, [available here](#), have been carefully drawn up and subsequently independently endorsed as “well set out and fair” by Britain’s leading human rights defence barrister Michael Mansfield QC. The proposition for the debate motion will be presented by Americans and Europeans for Medical Advancement ([AFMA/EFMA](#)), who illustrate the scientific evidence for Parliamentary [EDM 263](#) supported by the signatures of (to date) forty-three MPs. Please inform us of the name(s) of the scientist(s) you will be putting forward to debate the motion’s opposition. For obvious reasons, the presenters on both sides of this exclusively scientific debate can only participate if they are sufficiently qualified in human medicine or a science related to human medical research.

We look forward to hearing from you and thank you for your time.

Yours sincerely,

Alex Irving, Deborah Minns, Rebecca Groves and Louise Owen.

Directors (respectively of) [NO to Animal Experiments](#) (comprising the campaigns [Save the Harlan Beagles](#) and [Oppose B and K Universal](#)) [Human Rights: Patient Group](#) and their flagship for the science-based Parliamentary campaign [For Life On Earth](#).